



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/731,268

12/09/2003

Luying Sun

4920-104 US

4722

7590 08/20/2008
Patrick H. Higgins
Mathews, Collins, Shepherd & McKay
Suite 306
100 Thanet Circle
Princeton, NJ 08540

EXAMINER

WEINER, LAURA S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1795

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/20/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/731,268	Applicant(s) SUN, LUYING	
	Examiner /Laura S. Weiner/	Art Unit 1795	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 June 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 8-16 and 22-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 8-16, 22-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 6-25-08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive in regard to claims 8-16, 30-33 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nishikawa et al. (JP 2000-113906, translation). This is because Nishikawa et al. teaches an electrolyte solution comprising EC and $R_1\text{COO}-(\text{CH}_2)_a\text{-CN}$ where a is an integer of 1-3 and R_1 is an alkyl group of 1-3 carbons. Therefore teaching $\text{ME-OC=O-O}-(\text{CH}_2)\text{-CN}$ which is exactly what is being claimed $\text{NC-CR}_1\text{R}_2\text{-X}$ where X is ME-OC=O-O- . Therefore, the rejection stands.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 8-16, 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nishikawa et al. (JP 2000-113906, translation).

Nishikawa et al. teaches an electrolyte solution comprising EC and Formula (V), $R_1'\text{-COO}-(\text{CH}_2)_a\text{-CN}$ where R_1' can be an alkyl group [teaching Formula (Ib)]. Nishikawa et al. teaches on page 3, [0013] of translation, that selecting the amount of polar solvent besides the above, and/or a cyano ethyl ether system quantity dielectric constant solvent in 5-95% by weight of the range among electrolytic solution solvent

Art Unit: 1795

total amounts. Nishikawa et al. teaches on page 4 of translation, that the electrolyte salt was LiPF₆.

Since Nishikawa et al. teaches the same electrolyte comprising a cyclic carbonate, a nitrile compound and a LiPF₆ salt then inherently the same electrolyte having an ionic conductivity of greater than 1×10^{-3} S/cm at about -30 degrees C, having an ionic conductivity of greater than 3×10^{-4} S/cm at about -50 degrees C, the weight loss of the electrolyte is less than 3% after heated at 90 degrees C for 2 hours, the weight loss of the electrolyte is less than 5% after heated at 90 degrees C for 4 hours, the freezing point of the electrolyte is less than -60 degrees C and the boiling point of the nitrile higher than 120 degrees C and flash point is higher than 60 degrees C must also be obtained.

In addition, the presently claimed property of having an ionic conductivity of greater than 9×10^{-3} S/cm at about 25 degrees C having an ionic conductivity of greater than 1×10^{-3} S/cm at about -30 degrees C, having an ionic conductivity of greater than 3×10^{-4} S/cm at about -50 degrees C, the weight loss of the electrolyte is less than 3% after heated at 90 degrees C for 2 hours, the weight loss of the electrolyte is less than 5% after heated at 90 degrees C for 4 hours, the freezing point of the electrolyte is less than -60 degrees C and the boiling point of the nitrile higher than 120 degrees C and flash point is higher than 60 degrees would have obviously have been present once the Nishikawa et al. product is provided. *In re Best*, 195 USPQ 433 (CCPA 1977).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 8, 14-16, 29-33; 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. (JP 2000-077096, translation and abstract) or Toriida et al. (JP 2000-243442, translation) in view of Nishikawa et al. (JP 2000-113906, translation).

Kobayashi et al. teaches an electrolyte battery comprising a positive electrode comprising a lithium content multiple oxide such as LiCoO_2 , and a negative electrode which includes a carbon material and a separator. Kobayashi et al. teaches an electrolyte comprising LiPF_6 , a 60% $\text{CH}_3\text{OCOOC}_2\text{H}_4\text{CN}$ compound in Example 8, and 40% EC. Kobayashi et al. teaches that the electrolyte can comprise LiPF_6 , LiBF_4 , etc or two or more sorts can be mixed.

Toriida et al. teaches that the electrolyte comprises LiBF_4 , LiPF_6 , etc. Toriida et al. teaches that the electrolyte contains a solvent containing 0.01-70% by weight of cyanoethyl group $\text{R}(\text{O})_n\text{COOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CN}$ and contains a cyclic carbonate. The negative electrode includes metal lithium, carbon material and a cathode comprising a multiple oxide of lithium such as LiCoO_2 . Toriida et al. teaches in Example 1, that the electrolyte comprises PC:DEC=55:45 and 1 M of LiPF_6 .

Kobayashi et al. or Toriida et al. teaches the claimed invention except does not specifically teach that the electrolyte salt comprising a mixture of 50:50 LiPF_6 and LiBF_4 .

Art Unit: 1795

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use both salts, LiPF₆ and LiBF₄ in the electrolyte taught by Kobayashi et al. or Toriida et al. because it is prima facie obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by prior art to be useful for the same purpose in order to form a third composition that is to be used for the very same purpose. See *In re Kerkhoven*, 205 USPQ 1069; *In re Susi*, 169 USPQ 423.

Kobayashi et al. or Toriida et al. teaches the claimed invention except does not teach that the electrolyte comprises CH₃OCOOCH₂CN instead of CH₃OCOOC₂H₄CN.

Nishikawa et al. teaches an electrolyte solution comprising EC and Formula (V), R₁'-COO-(CH₂)_a-CN where a can be 1, 2 or 3 and R₁' can be an alkyl group [*teaching Formula (Ib)*]. Nishikawa et al. teaches on page 3, [0013] of translation, that selecting the amount of polar solvent besides the above, and/or a cyano ethyl ether system quantity dielectric constant solvent in 5-95% by weight of the range among electrolytic solution solvent total amounts. Nishikawa et al. teaches on page 4 of translation, that the electrolyte salt was LiPF₆.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use R₁'-COO-(CH₂)-CN taught by Nishikawa et al. instead of R₁'-COO-(CH₂)₂-CN taught by Kobayashi et al. or Toriida et al. because Nishikawa et al. teaches that these nitrile compounds are known to be used.

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Laura S. Weiner/ whose telephone number is 571-272-1294. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Laura S Weiner/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1795

August 18, 2008